



HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

BID EVALUATION REPORT

Tender for Binding of law journals: PLD, MLD, CLC, SCMR, PTD, CLD, PCrLJ, PLC, YLR, Taxation, PTCL (2024–2025) and SBLR (2023–2025).

Tender Reference NO.SHC/BUDGET/TENDER/2025-26/VII/Binding of law journals

Bid Opening Day & Date: Monday, 1st June, 2026 at 11:00 a.m.

Prepared on 02.06.2026

KAMRAN AHMED HAMIDI
DIRECTOR GENERAL (FINANCE & ACCOUNTS)
CHAIRMAN, PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE

KAMRAN MEMON,
DEPUTY REGISTRAR -(Accounts) (Member)

SYED MUHAMMAD MUTI-UR-REHMAN
Deputy Secretary - Nominee of The Law, Parliamentary
Affairs & Criminal Prosecution Department,
Government of Sindh. (External Member)

I. INTRODUCTION

The High Court of Sindh, Karachi invited electronic bids (e-bids) through the e-Pak Acquisition and Disposal System (EPADS) from eligible firms registered with the relevant tax authorities, appearing on the Active Taxpayers List (ATL) of the Federal Board of Revenue (FBR), and registered with the Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (SPPRA) for the procurement titled:

“Tender for Binding of Law Journals: PLD, MLD, CLC, SCMR, PTD, CLD, PCRLJ, PLC, YLR, TAXATION, PTCL (2024–2025) and SBLR (2023–2025) for the Court Library, High Court of Sindh, Karachi.”

The procurement was conducted under the applicable provisions of the Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (SPPRA) Rules, 2010 (as amended), through the Single Stage – One Envelope Procedure.

II. SCOPE OF WORK

The successful bidder was required to undertake binding of approximately **2,100 law journal volumes** in accordance with the technical specifications and conditions prescribed in the bidding documents, including but not limited to:

- Hardboard binding with durable binding cloth.
- Golden embossing on spine.
- Separate binding of journals and law reports.
- Section-sewn stitching.
- Safe custody, collection, transportation and delivery of volumes.
- Submission of sample binding for approval.
- Mandatory site visit to the Court Library.

III. PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE

The Procurement Committee constituted by the Competent Authority conducted the bid opening and evaluation process in accordance with the SPPRA Rules and the criteria stipulated in the bidding documents.

IV. PARTICIPATING BIDDERS

The following firms submitted bids through EPADS:

S.No. Name of Bidder

- 1 M/s Wazarat Entrepreneur Pvt. Ltd
- 2 M/s Digi Ferry

V. MANDATORY QUALIFICATION / ELIGIBILITY EVALUATION

As per the bidding documents, the following mandatory eligibility criteria were required for qualification:

S.No.	Eligibility Criteria
1	Valid Registration with FBR and SRB
2	Valid GST and SST Registration
3	Affidavit of Non-Blacklisting
4	Minimum Three (03) Years Relevant Experience in Binding Works
5	Similar Contracts Completed During Last Three Years
6	Ownership of Embossing Machine
7	Average Annual Turnover of at least Rs. 2 Million during preceding three financial years

Eligibility Evaluation Matrix

S.No.	Eligibility Criteria	M/s Wazarat Entrepreneur Pvt. Ltd	M/s Digi Ferry
1	Valid Registration with FBR & SRB	No (SRB Registration Not Provided)	Yes
2	Valid GST & SST Registration	No	Yes
3	Affidavit of Non-Blacklisting	Yes	Yes
4	Minimum 03 Years Relevant Experience in Binding Works	No	No
5	Similar Contracts Completed During Last Three Years	No	No
6	Ownership of Embossing Machine	No	No
7	Average Annual Turnover of Rs. 2 Million	Yes	Yes
	Overall Status	Disqualified	Disqualified

Observations

M/s Wazarat Entrepreneur Pvt. Ltd

The bidder was found **non-responsive** due to the following deficiencies:

1. Not registered with the Sindh Revenue Board (SRB).
2. Failed to provide evidence of minimum three (03) years relevant experience in binding works.
3. Failed to furnish a list of similar contracts completed during the last three years.
4. Failed to provide evidence regarding ownership/availability of an embossing machine as required in the bidding documents.

Accordingly, the bidder did not meet the mandatory qualification criteria and was declared **Technically Non-Responsive**.

M/s Digi Ferry

The bidder was found **non-responsive** due to the following deficiencies:

1. Failed to provide evidence of minimum three (03) years relevant experience in binding works.
2. Failed to furnish a list of similar contracts completed during the last three years.
3. Failed to provide evidence regarding ownership/availability of an embossing machine as required in the bidding documents.

Accordingly, the bidder did not meet the mandatory qualification criteria and was declared **Technically Non-Responsive**.

VI. FINANCIAL EVALUATION

Financial proposals were opened and reviewed for record purposes.

S.No.	Bidder	Quantity (Volumes)	Unit Rate (PKR)	Total Amount (PKR)
1	M/s Wazarat Entrepreneur Pvt. Ltd	2,100	430/-	903,000/-
2	M/s Digi Ferry	2,100	1,305/-	2,740,500/-

Financial Analysis

- The bid submitted by **M/s Wazarat Entrepreneur Pvt. Ltd** was the lowest in terms of quoted price; however, the bidder failed to qualify the mandatory eligibility requirements and was therefore considered non-responsive.
- The bid submitted by **M/s Digi Ferry** was substantially higher than the department's estimated cost and the bidder also failed to qualify the mandatory eligibility requirements.

Since both participating bidders failed to satisfy the mandatory qualification criteria prescribed in the bidding documents, neither bid could be considered for award of contract.

VII. FINDINGS OF THE PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE




After detailed scrutiny and evaluation of the documents submitted by both participating firms, the Procurement Committee observed that:

1. Both bidders failed to comply with the mandatory eligibility requirements of the bidding documents.
2. Neither bidder demonstrated the required relevant experience in law journal binding works.
3. Neither bidder furnished satisfactory evidence of similar assignments completed during the preceding three years.
4. Neither bidder provided proof regarding possession of the required embossing machine.
5. One bidder (M/s Wazarat Entrepreneur Pvt. Ltd) was additionally found deficient in SRB registration requirements.
6. The financial proposal submitted by M/s Digi Ferry was significantly higher than the estimated cost of the procurement.

VIII. RECOMMENDATION

In view of the above evaluation findings, the Procurement Committee unanimously recommends cancellation of the tender and initiation of a fresh procurement process through the quotation method under Rule 16(a)(i) & (iii) of the Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (SPPRA) Rules, 2010 (as amended.)

The Committee further recommends that the quotation process be initiated at the earliest to ensure timely binding and preservation of the law journals required for the Court Library.

 KAMRAN AHMED HAMIDI DIRECTOR GENERAL (FINANCE & ACCOUNTS) CHAIRMAN, PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE	
 KAMRAN MEMON, DEPUTY REGISTRAR -(Accounts) (Member)	 02/06/2026 SYED MUHAMMAD MUTI-UR-REHMAN Deputy Secretary - Nominee of The Law, Parliamentary Affairs & Criminal Prosecution Department, Government of Sindh. (External Member)